

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,359 12/29/2000		12/29/2000	Tal Isaac Lavian	10360-082001/BA0448	10360-082001/BA0448 9719	
26181	7590	06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON P.C.	HU, JINSONG			
3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MININEAT ODIS, MIN 33402			2154	·- ·		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/753,359	LAVIAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jinsong Hu	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	farch 2003						
	•						
'=	<u>-</u>						
·—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/753,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-32 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-13, 16-28 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abrol et al. (US 6,542,734).
- 4. As per claim 1, Abrol teaches the invention as claimed including a method of accessing information about a resource associated with a network device [col. 4, lines 22-29], comprising:

receiving a request from an application for information about a resource associated with a network device [260, Fig. 3; col. 4, lines 66-67];

Art Unit: 2154

selecting a layer in a network protocol stack having multiple layers for communicating with the requested resource associated with the network device [col. 5, lines 1-9];

establishing an inner layer socket for communicating at the selected layer using an inner layer application programming interface (IL API) and a socket identifier associated with the requested resource, wherein the inner layer socket communicates using the selected layer and bypasses other layers in the network protocol stack [col. 5, lines 29-31; col. 5, line 61 – col. 6, lines 5];

transmitting the request for information about the resource through the inner layer socket and the socket identifier [col. 6, lines 1-5];

receiving the information about the resource in response to the transmission made through the inner layer socket [col. 6, lines 1-5]; and

passing the information about the resource through the inner layer socket to the application making the request [col. 5, lines 61 – col. 6, lines 5].

- 5. As per claim 2, Abrol teaches the request includes header information associated with a transport layer and the inner layer socket is a transport socket [col. 5, lines 18-27 & 61-65].
- 6. As per claim 3, Abrol teaches the network protocol stack is compatible with TCP/IP and the transport socket is compatible, with a TCP or UDP transport layer protocol [202, Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 21-23 & 61-65].

Application/Control Number: 09/753,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

7. As per claim 4, Abrol teaches the request includes header information associated with a network layer and the inner layer socket is a network socket [col. 5, lines 61-65; col. 6, lines 18-25 & 39-43].

- 8. As per claim 5, Abrol teaches the network protocol is compatible with TCP/IP and the network socket is compatible with an IP network layer protocol [204, Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 18-27].
- 9. As per claim 6, Abrol teaches the request includes header information associated with a link layer and the inner layer socket is a link socket [col. 5, lines 61-65].
- 10. As per claim 7, Abrol teaches the network protocol is compatible with TCP/IP and the link socket is compatible with a link layer protocol [206, Fig. 3; col. 5, lines 61-65].
- 11. As per claim 8, Abrol teaches the step of selecting a layer in a network protocol stack further includes determining the layer in the network protocol stack that the requested resource uses for communication [col. 5, lines 18-27].
- 12. As per claim 9, Abrol teaches the IP layer in a TCP/IP network protocol is selected when a Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) resource communicates at the network layer in the network protocol [col. 5, lines 39-43].

Application/Control Number: 09/753,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

13. As per claim 10, Abrol teaches the link layer in a TCP/IP network protocol is selected when an Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) resource communicates at the link layer in the network protocol [col. 5, line 49 – col. 7, line 5; col. 6, lines 22-25].

- 14. As per claim 11, Abrol teaches the physical layer in a network protocol is selected when a physical port resource uses the physical layer for communication [col. 6, lines 1-5].
- 15. As per claims 12 and 13, Abrol teaches IL API provides a transport socket to access transport layer information in the network protocol, a network socket to access network layer information in the network protocol, a link socket to access link layer information in the network protocol, and a physical socket to access physical port information in the network protocol [col. 5, lines 49-60].
- 16. As per claims 16-28, since they are apparatus claims of claims 1-13, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1-13 above.
- 17. As per claims 31 and 32, since they are structure and computer claims of claim 1, they are rejected for the same basis as claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,359

Art Unit: 2154

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 14-15 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrol et al. (US 6,542,734) as applied to 1-13 and 16-28 above in view of Gigliotti et al. (US 6,138,143).
- 20. As per claims 14 and 15, Abrol teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Abrol does not specifically teach using objected-oriented instructions in java program language and running on a virtual machine. However, Gigliotti on the other hand teaches the step of using objected-oriented instructions in java program language and running on a virtual machine [col. 5, lines 3-8]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Abrol and Gigliotti because JAVA is a well-known objected-oriented language in the art for being used on a virtual machine (JAVA virtual machine) which on a level above the operating system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Abrol's system with Gigliotti's JAVA script based on specific design reason.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/753,359

Art Unit: 2154

21. As per claims 29 and 30, since they are apparatus claims of claims 14-15, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 14-15 above.

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Gessel et al. (US 5,732,213) discloses a system for testing open system; Connery et al. (US 6,246,683) discloses a system for processing network

protocol; and

O'Gorman et al. (US 6,711,178) discloses a CLAW parking protocol.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306 -5932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee, can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax number for Group 2100 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

Jinsong Hu

May 27, 2004

Page 7